

A People Evolve: From Bondage To Freedom

YadAvNow.com Weekly Video Series: Tazria

Rabbi Yosef Kalatsky

Weekly Video: Sequentially Last Underlines Intrinsic Value

CLICK TO VIEW!



An Ongoing Opportunity to Restore Equilibrium

CLICK TO VIEW!



Detoxification of the Lifesource & Infusion of Illumination

CLICK TO VIEW!



A Divine Reaction to Egregious Behavior

CLICK TO VIEW!



1. When a suspicious leprous lesion appears, one goes to the Kohen for evaluation.
2. The Kohen determines whether the person is a leper.
3. Leprosy is not degenerative, but an intense white lesion which is only a tag.
4. It result from excessive gossip, extreme arrogance or miserliness.
5. Seforno: Only the Kohen is qualified in this role because the prophet depicts the Kohen as the

keeper of the religion.

6. He advises the Leper how to repent and pray for recovery.
7. The Kohen as keeper of the faith also prays for him.
8. On Rosh Hashanah we say, "Repentance, Prayer and Charity removes the harshness of the decree.
9. Commentators: Even if one repents one must pray his repentance should be accepted.

The Capacity of Joy to the UnEntitled

CLICK TO VIEW!



1. A male child is circumcised on the eighth day.
2. There is no Torah obligation to celebrate the occasion.
3. Midrash: G-d says, "See to what degree the Jewish People endear the Mitzvos and rejoice in them; I will add joy to the humble."
4. One rejoices when feeling he's come upon something of special value.
5. The core of disappointment is expectation; Entitlement does not allow joy.

6. Entitlement: One feel denied of what he deserves.
7. Endearment of Mitzvos indicates the value one gives to the opportunity of performing a mitzvah.
8. Isaiah: To The humble I will add joy.
9. The humble one has no sense of entitlement; he esteems the Mitzvos so that he will make a financial outlay.
10. "To the humble I will add joy": he has the capacity to be fully appreciative.

Parsha Upfront: Circumcision: Rebooting All Spiritual Systems

CLICK TO VIEW!



A People Evolve: From Bondage To Freedom



Pre-Pesach Video Series

Rabbi Yosef Kalatsky

Why Did G-d Wait? Egypt, at the Height of its Civilization & Prowess, is Demoralized & Decimated by G-d's Intervention & Demonstration of Power. The Narrative of Exodus.

CLICK TO VIEW!



Why The Bondage? Children are not culpable for the sins of their parents & antecedents. Why then the bondage ?

CLICK TO VIEW!



What About Choice? What exactly is the value and objective of Man's existence? It is to make choices that are ultimately attributed to his own initiative. Pharaoh, although initially had choice, from the sixth plague, onward no longer had free choice yet is held accountable for his rejection of G-d.

CLICK TO VIEW!



The Significance of 10 What is the significance of the number 10? What was the purpose of the Ten utterances of G-d at Creation, 10 and the Chosen People? The Ten Commandments?

CLICK TO VIEW!



The Plagues: Nature or Miracle Passover! Each plague took place over 1 week; and there was one plague per month in Egypt. Were these events "nature" or "miracles"? What did the pagans believe?

CLICK TO VIEW!



Were We Worthy Enough? The Connection between the blood of the Pascal Lamb & the Blood of the Covenant.

CLICK TO VIEW!



Full Participation You must be FULLY Involved. Fulfilling the Obligation of the Pascal Lamb.

CLICK TO VIEW!



Weekly Torah Commentaries Series: Parshas Tazria



A People Evolve: From Bondage To Freedom

Drawing G-d into this Existence

The *Torah* tells us that on the eighth day of the inauguration of the *Mishkan* the Divine Presence entered into the *Mishkan*. At that moment, *Nadav* and *Avihu*, the sons of *Aaron* brought forth fire pans with incense, which was considered sinful. The *Torah* states, "A fire came forth from G-d and consumed them, and they died before *Hashem*..." One opinion, regarding the death of *Nadav* and *Avihu* explains that since the *Torah* juxtaposes their death with the prohibition for a *Kohen* (Priest) to officiate after drinking wine or an intoxicating beverage, it is indicated that they were struck down by G-d because they officiated in an intoxicated state.

The *Torah* states after the death of *Nadav* and *Avihu*, "G-d said to *Aaron*, 'Do not drink intoxicating wine, you and your sons with you, when you come to the Tent of the Meeting, that you not die...and to teach (*I'horos*) the Children of Israel all the decrees that G-d had spoken to them through *Moshe*.'" *Rashi* cites

Chazal who explain, that from here we learn that one is not permitted to render a *halachic* ruling while intoxicated. Just as the *Kohen* is not permitted to officiate after drinking 2.9 oz.- small measure (*revi'is*) of any intoxicating beverage, one is not permitted to render a *halachic* ruling. If this is so, one would think that perhaps if one were to render a *halachic* ruling in an intoxicated state he would be liable for spiritual excision, as the *Kohen* would be.

However, the *Torah* limits this liability to the *Kohen* and not to the *Torah* scholar who renders a *halachic* ruling. It is understandable that if a *Kohen* is in an intoxicated state that he will be liable for spiritual excision because he has entered in to the Sanctuary and officiated before G-d in a state of inappropriateness; however, why would there be a consideration that one who renders a *halachic* ruling in such a state should be liable to this degree? Although the *Torah* scholar's thinking may be impaired because of the small amount of wine that he drank, why there be a liability of spiritual excision for rendering a ruling?

The *Gemara* in Tractate *Yevamos* tells us, that between the festival of Passover and *Shavuos*, 24,000 students of *Rebbe Akiva* passed away in a plague. They perished over a 33-day period. The *Gemara* explains that the reason the students of *Rebbe Akiva* passed away in such a short period of time was that “They did not conduct themselves with proper respect towards one another.” *Rav Aaron Kotler z’tl* explains that the students of *Rebbe Akiva* were the sages who would be responsible for the transmission of *Torah* to the future generations. They had the responsibility of communicating the *Torah* in its most accurate and authentic form – as it was given at *Sinai*.

However, because they did not have sufficient sensitivity to evaluate the nuances between their various levels of *Torah*, to revere and esteem one another, they were not qualified for this quintessential task. Since they did not have the sensitivity to recognize the subtleties between themselves, it was an indication that they did not have the necessary level of discernment to transmit *Torah* with the accuracy that was necessary. Their passing was not related to their level of *Torah* knowledge, rather it was because they did not qualify to be the transmitters of the *Torah*. Had they not died, the *Torah* that they would have communicated would have been not been accurate and thus not G-d’s Word. Therefore, the students needed to pass away in order to preserve the purity, eternity, and authenticity of *Torah*.

Similarly, a *Torah* scholar who is in a position to give a *halachic* ruling is responsible for the proper transmission of *Torah* and its edicts. However, if through his own action of drinking wine, his judgment becomes even slightly impaired it is considered to be negligent to an extreme degree. Although when one renders a ruling after drinking an intoxicating beverage, it is not considered the actual transmission of *Torah*, nevertheless, the information being transmitted is regarded to be the word of G-d, which it is not. Thus, one would think that the liability for this should be spiritual excision. Therefore the *Torah* states the law in an exclusionary manner to exclude the *Torah* scholar from spiritual excision.

Regarding the *Kohen*, his liability stems not from the fact that his function is impaired, as with the *Torah* scholar, but rather it stems from officiating before G-d and officiating in an inappropriate and disrespectful state. If this is so, what is the commonality between the *Kohen* and the *Torah* scholar’s inappropriateness of this state?

The *Gemara* in Tractate *Berachos* states, “After the destruction of the Temple, G-d only has in His world the four cubits of *Halacha* (definitive law).” After the destruction of the Temple, the Divine Presence is located where definitive law is being studied and elucidated. The *Ponevitch Rav* explains the *Gemara* to mean, that during the time of the Temple, the Divine Presence was located not only in the Holy of Holies of the Temple but also within the location in which *Halacha* was being studied.

However, after the destruction of the Temple, all that G-d has is the four cubits of *Halacha*. G-d associates Himself with the location in which definitive law is studied and rendered. If so, one could say that the location in which the *Torah* scholar is engaged in rendering a *halachic* ruling is in actuality the location of the Divine Presence. If this is so, one could think that the liability of the *Torah* scholar should be spiritual excision as the *Kohen* who officiates in the sanctuary. Therefore the *Torah* must state that the *Kohen* is the one with this level of liability and not the *Torah* scholar when he renders an *halachic* ruling.

The Human Being, a Composite of the Physical and the Spiritual

Regarding the laws of leprosy, when various lesions appear on one’s body, it is an indication of leprosy (*tzaraas*). The intensity of the whiteness of the lesion will determine if the lesion is leprous or not. The pronouncement of the lesion being leprous is made only by the *Kohen*, thus causing the individual to be a “leper.” He will thus need to leave all of the camps of Israel. The commentators explain that the leprosy that is discussed in the *Torah* is not the degenerative disease that we know as leprosy, but rather it is a lesion of a particular color that develops on the skin as a result of a specific spiritual failing. When one develops such a lesion, he must go to the *Kohen* to evaluate if in fact it is *tzaraas*. The *Kohen* is the only individual qualified to pronounce the lesions to be leprous and to reinstate him.

If the *Kohen* pronounces the person as contaminated, then he is classified as a leper and must be sent out of all the camps of Israel. He must remain there in a isolated state and is not permitted to interact with other individuals. In order for the leper to be reinstated into the camp of Israel, the lesion must heal and only then can the *Kohen* pronounce him as “pure.”

Sforno explains that one aspect of the qualification of the *Kohen* to pronounce the leper as impure or pure is based on a verse in *Malachi*, "The lips of the *Kohen* are the keepers of the religion." After the *Kohen* pronounces the individual as contaminated and he is sent outside of all the camps to remain alone, the *Kohen* instructs the leper how to introspect and reflect on his behavior, since leprosy only comes about because of a spiritual failing. The *Kohen* instructs him how to repent in order for his supplications for recovery should be received by G-d. In addition, the *Kohen* and the community will also pray on his behalf as well. The cause of the leprous legion is due to one speaking *lashon hara* (negative speech about another individual). The leper will only be able to recover through repentance and not through any medical treatment.

The leper does not experience any physical pain or degeneration as a result of his leprosy. His condition is purely to make him aware of his spiritual failing and his need to repent. *Chazal* tell us that the reason the leper must be isolated outside of the camps is because, just as his negative speech separated between man and his fellow, so too must he be separated from his fellow – "measure for measure."

The *Gemara* tells us that there is no death without sin. All physical ailments and suffering are manifestations of one's transgression of the *Torah*. Thus, the individual is subject to physical illness or limitation. The *Gemara* in Tractate *Berachos* also states that by experiencing suffering one is cleansed from sin. If punishment manifests itself through physical degeneration or suffering, which is always the consequence of sin, why when one speaks *lashon hara* does it not manifest itself in this physical manner? Why is the result of speaking *lashon hara* expressed only as a lesion of a certain color which is only a tag that indicates the spiritual failing?

The *Gemara* in Tractate *Taanis* tells us that at the end of time, the animals of the forest will put the snake on trial. They will say in an accusatory manner to the snake, "The lion tramples its prey and devours it to satisfy its hunger. The bear mauls and kills its prey and takes it back to its den to eat it. Each creature kills and devours its prey with the purpose to satisfy its hunger to maintain its existence; however, you (the snake) bite your victim and slither away without necessarily consuming it. What benefit do you have from victimizing the one who you bite?" The snake responds, "Why should I be more culpable than man of the tongue (who speaks *lashon hara*)?" The person who speaks *lashon hara* also victimizes his victim without

having any physical benefit. The snake continues by saying, "Why should I be vilified more than the human being? Why is my failing greater than his?"

A human being is a composite of the physical and the spiritual. The physicality of the person, which is the body, represents the animal. All the animalistic drives and desires of the human being emanate from the physicality of his being. The soul is the spiritual component of the human being. The soul has no relevance or inclination to anything unless it has some relevance to spirituality. Therefore, when one fails spiritually and sins, it is attributed to one succumbing to the physicality of his being and unrelated to his spirituality. The claim the animals of the forest have against the snake, was that its need to victimize the one who he bites, is not found within the animal makeup. The inclination that is attributed to the animal has relevance only to satisfy a physical need – not something outside of that context. Thus, the snake's need to bite its victim and slither off without having any physical benefit is an anathema. It is something that is intrinsically corrupt and evil.

Since *lashon hara* does not emanate from something that is rooted in the animalistic makeup of the human being, its consequence does not manifest in physical diminishment. Thus, the lesion is not something that is degenerative, but rather it is only a tag (indicator) of the spiritual deficiency that came about due to *lashon hara*. It is a manifestation of something that is rooted in something that is truly evil that exists within that individual.

The *Gemara* in Tractate *Megillah* states, "Rava says, "There was no one who was more adept in speaking *lashon hara* (evil speech) than *Haman* the evil one." *Haman* was the expert in evil speech. *Haman* was an Amalekite, who is a personification of evil. The *Torah* states, "G-d said, 'My Throne cannot be complete until *Amalek* is obliterated from under the heaven.'" *Chazal* depict the Amalekites when they attacked the Jewish people after the splitting of the Sea as "the fool who jumped into the scalding bath. Although he is scalded by the hot water, he nevertheless cools the bath for others." Despite the fact that the world stood in awe of the Jewish people after G-d split the Sea on their behalf, *Amalek* being unfazed, attacked them. Their motivation was purely evil and not rooted in their physicality.

Chofetz Chaim writes in his work, *Chofetz Chaim*, that over time if one engages in evil speech, one can be in violation of seventeen negative commandments and

fourteen positive commandments. One of the negative commandments that one who speaks *lashon harah* is “the desecration of G-d’s Name (*chilul Hashem*).” Since this sin is not due to succumbing to one’s physical desire but rather it is rooted in behaving contrary to G-d’s Will purely because the individual wants to do so.

The Effect of Being Separated from the Jewish People

The *Torah* tells us that when the *Kohen* rules that the lesion found on one’s body is in fact leprous, the individual is pronounced to be a leper and he is sent outside of all the camps of Israel to be sequestered in a state of solitude. In his secluded location, he has time to reflect and introspect upon his behavior, which caused his contaminated state. *Chazal* tell us that one becomes a leper if he speaks *lashon hara* (negative speech about another), or if he is overwhelmingly envious, miserly, or haughty.

The *Gemara* in Tractate *Nedarim* tells us that a leper is the equivalent of one who is dead. The intensity of the spiritual contamination that is generated by leper is similar to that of a corpse. Just as if one is in the same room as a Jewish corpse, one will become contaminated, so too if one is in the same room as a leper one will become contaminated. Just as the *Kohen* is the only one qualified to pronounce the lesion as leprous, he is the only one qualified to pronounce the leper as pure. *Sforno* cites a verse from *Malachi*, “The lips of the *Kohen* are the keepers of the religion.” It is because of this that the *Kohen* must instruct the leper how to introspect and repent sufficiently in order to recover from his contaminated state. In addition to introspection and repentance, the *Kohen* instructs the leper to pray for himself to recover from his state of leprosy which came about because of his spiritual failing. The *Kohen* also prays on behalf of the leper to recover.

There is a situation in which an individual develops a lesion and it is questionable if it is leprosy or not. The *Kohen* may decide to sequester him for a week in order to further assess the nature of the lesion. After the week passes and the lesion remains undetermined, the *Kohen* may decide to sequester the individual for another week. After the second week, if the lesion is determined to not be leprous, the individual will be allowed to return to the camp of Israel; however, he must first immerse himself and his clothing in a ritual

bath (*mikvah*) in order to purify himself. If the lesion was determined to not be leprous, why should he be considered contaminated and need to be immersed in a *mikvah* in order to return to the camp of Israel?

During the time the individual is quarantined and sequestered outside of the camps of Israel, he is not permitted to have any contact with anyone. He must remain there for a period of time determined by the *Kohen*, until he is considered to have recovered. We see from this, that if a Jew is separated and cut-off from the Jewish people, he is considered to be the equivalent of a nonfunctioning person. He is the equivalent of one who has died. A Jew is only considered to be vibrant and alive if he is connected to the Jewish people. Being in an *halachically* separated state, is the equivalent of spiritual excision (which is decreed by G-d). This is the reason the individual who was sequestered although his lesion was later determined to be non-leprous, must immerse himself in the *mikvah* because of the contamination that came upon him from separated from the Jewish people.

Sforno explains, “Leprosy comes upon a person for the sake of punishment. As the *Gemara* in Tractate *Berachos* states, ‘Leprosy is the altar of atonement...’ It is a time when one must remain sequestered and isolated to be aroused to introspect and repent.... When one has alone without any distractions, he will introspect.” If the individual after he is sequestered repents sufficiently, then his lesion will be pronounced as pure by the *Kohen*. If however he does not repent, then must remain separated from the Jewish people outside of all the camps until he comes to the realization that he must introspect and repent sufficiently.

The *Gemara* in Tractate *Chulin* tells us that if one stubs his finger or toe, it is an indication that at that moment it was decreed from heaven that he needed to suffer for a spiritual failing. This is similar to the one who develops a lesion on his skin as an indication that he has failed spiritually. If this is so, why does one not need to introspect and repent after stubbing his toe, as the one who becomes a leper? Seemingly, both punishments emanate from one’s spiritual failing. If so, why does the one who stubs his toe, as decreed by heaven, not become contaminated? Evidently, the two situations are not similar. The leper needs to be sent out of all the camps and becomes contaminated, which is an indication of the gravity of his sin. Whereas, the one who stubs his toe as a punishment from heaven, has not sinned to the same degree.

The Linkage Between Circumcision and Land of Israel

The *Torah* states regarding the mitzvah of circumcision, "On the eighth day, the flesh of his foreskin (*orlaaso*) shall be circumcised." The *Torah* tells us that the mitzvah of circumcision was initially given to *Avraham*, our Patriarch. The commentators explain that there is a linkage between the covenant of the removal of the foreskin (circumcision) and receiving the Land of Canaan. Being circumcised was a prerequisite to receiving the Land.

Rabbeinu Bachya explains that throughout history that the Jews and the Arabs were the only people who were able to remain in the Land because they were circumcised. The Christians who have come to the Land, such as during the Crusades, were only able to remain in the Land for a short period of time because they were not circumcised. This is because the Land cannot tolerate one who is in a uncircumcised state (the Land "spits him out"). However, the Jewish people who have a complete circumcision (*meilah* and *priya*) can remain in the Land on a permanent basis. The Arabs however, since they are only partially circumcised (*meilah* without *priya*) can only remain in the Land until the coming of Moshiach. When the ultimate redemption will come, they too will be removed from the Land.

The *Mishna* in Tractate *Nidarim* tells us that if one makes a vow that no one who is uncircumcised can benefit from him, although the Arab is physically circumcised, he cannot benefit from this individual. The *Mishna* explain that although the Arab is circumcised, the nations of the world are nevertheless referred to as "*orlei leiv*" (those who possess a covering on the heart). The classification of being circumcised or uncircumcised is not only determined by the status of the foreskin, but rather when the inner covering of the heart can be removed, due to the removal of the foreskin, thus rendering the individual with a circumcised heart.

The *Gemara* in Tractate *Kesubos* states, "One who lives outside of the Land of Israel, it is as if he has no G-d." *Maharsha* in his commentary (based upon *Ramban*) explains that the world was divided among the seventy root nations of the world. Each location has relevance to that particular nation. Every nation was given an archangel to oversee its existence and function. All sustenance that comes from G-d to every nation is transmitted through the archangel of each nation. The Land of Israel has no archangel associated with it, because G-d Himself

directly oversees all of its needs. Thus, all the sustenance and influences relating to the Land of Israel emanate directly from G-d without an intermediary. When the Jew lives outside of the Land of Israel he receives his sustenance through the archangel of that location rather than directly from G-d. It is thus the equivalent to not having a direct relationship with G-d.

The foreskin (*orlah*) is a representation of spiritual contamination, the evil that exists within man. *Chazal* tell us that if one wants to enter into the palace of the king, one must remove all contaminated things that are associated with him or else he cannot enter the palace. Therefore, in order for one to be able to remain in the Land, the Holy Land which is the location of G-d's Presence, one must remove the foreskin (*orlah*) which represents contamination and evil. *Ohr HaChaim HaKadosh* explains based on the *Zohar* that *Adam* was created in a circumcised state without a foreskin. However, after he sinned by eating of the Tree of Knowledge of good and evil he became tainted with evil. The foreskin (*orlah*) developed on him as an expression of the evil that he had integrated into his being. The Jewish people have the ability to remove themselves from that evil (to a degree) by performing the *mitzvah* of circumcision.

Chazal tell us that *Avraham*, our Patriarch observed the entire *Torah* including all of the *rabbinic* fences that would be legislated in the future. The *Brisker Rav* asks, "If *Avraham*, our Patriarch observed the entire *Torah* before it was given to the Jewish people at *Sinai*, why did he not circumcise himself before the age of 99? Why did he wait until G-d commanded him to do so?" The *Brisker Rav* answers based on the *Gemara* in Tractate *Kiddushin*, "Greater is the one who is commanded and performs (the *mitzvah*), than the one who is not commanded and performs (the *mitzvah*)." Since *Avraham* knew through his prophetic vision that in the future he would be commanded to circumcise himself by G-d, he waited until that moment to fulfill the *mitzvah* on a more advanced level, as one who was commanded to do so. Thus, by not circumcising himself until he was commanded to do so, *Avraham's* circumcision was more complete.

One can explain that *Avraham* waited to be commanded by G-d to circumcise himself, although he was aware that ultimately there would be such a *mitzvah*, because he understood that the removal of the foreskin, which is the removal of evil, only effects the inner essence of the person when he is sufficiently advanced. Otherwise, he would be no different than the

gentile, who remains as an “*orlei leiv*” even if he were to perform the physical act of circumcision. Therefore, *Avraham* waited until he sufficiently separated the evil from within himself to be qualified to be circumcised.

Thus, the prerequisite of receiving the gift of the Land of Canaan was the covenant which came about through circumcision. Because entering into the Land is the equivalent of entering the palace of the king, he cannot enter into the palace as long as he possess the evil within him from the Tree of Knowledge. This mitzvah is thus performed on the eighth day, which connotes something that transcends the natural order, which is physical existence. As *Maharal* of Prague explains, the number seven represents the natural order while the number eight represents the supernatural. Thus, the Jew being circumcised on the eighth day signifies the detachment from evil, which is contained within physical existence, to be qualified to be attached to G-d, the Omnipotent Being.

The Impediment to Spirituality

The *Torah* states, “*Moshe* said, ‘This is the thing that *Hashem* has commanded you to do; then the glory of *Hashem* will appear to you (the Divine Presence will Descend).’” The verse does not explain to what *Moshe* is referring when he said, “This is the thing that *Hashem* has commanded you to do...” *Ohr HaChaim HaKadosh* writes, “*Rashi* explains that this is referring to *Aaron* coming close by bringing his offering. However, it seems to me that *Moshe* is referring to what was stated in the previous verse. The *Torah* states, ‘...the entire assembly approached and stood before *Hashem*.’ Why does the verse specify regarding the standing of the assembly, that they ‘stood before *Hashem*?’ The verse could have stated they ‘stood before the Tent of the Meeting.’ ...The *Torah* is telling us, the Jewish people needed to prepare themselves to stand before G-d Himself to be worthy of the Divine Presence.” One is only worthy of a relationship with G-d if one truly understands, esteems, and reveres Him. If one does not have the proper level of humility and negation, then G-d will not have a relationship with him.

Ohr HaChaim HaKadosh continues, “One who understands and appreciates that he is standing before G-d, will come to understand the ultimate objective of existence. As King David writes, ‘I have set before Me G-d always...’ One who has a sense that he is in the presence of G-d will not deviate from the *Torah*. If one truly has reverence because he feels that he is in the Presence of G-d, there will be nothing that will separate him from G-d...This to what *Moshe* was referring. One must continuously have a sense of the Presence of G-d.

“After I have written this, another interpretation comes to mind from the words of *Chazal*. The verse states, ‘This is the thing that *Hashem* has commanded you...’ is referring to circumcision. As it states in the book of *Yehoshuah*. If the Jewish people were all circumcised during the time of *Yehoshuah*, to what is it referring? It is referring to the covering that is on the heart of man. The covering of the heart (*orlas haLeiv*) is what separates one from G-d, because it distances one from the fear and reverence of Him. Once that covering is removed, one will be able to have a sufficient level of reverence for G-d and only then will His Glory come to dwell amongst them.” Thus, in order to be worthy of an intimate relationship with G-d, one must remove all coverings that exists on one’s heart, such as one’s sense of self and ego.

Maharal of Prague explains that humility is a prerequisite to having a relationship with G-d. He explains it with an allegory: If one has a flask made of leather, the thinner the leather the greater the capacity the flask has to contain liquid. If the leather is thick, it will have a reduced capacity to contain liquid. Similarly, the more one has a sense of himself and is consumed with his personal material needs, his capacity to have a relationship with G-d is diminished and limited. This is the lesson that *Moshe* was teaching to the Jewish people, that in order to have a relationship with G-d on a special level, they need to negate themselves and feel that they are standing in His Presence continuously. It is only then will they merit to have a special relationship with Him.



Yad Avraham Institute